



TITLE:

The path integral formulation of a polymer chain with stiffness and its phase transitions : Analytic theory of DNA condensation(Soft Matter as Structured Materials)

AUTHOR(S):

Ishimoto, Yukitaka; Kikuchi, Norio

CITATION:

Ishimoto, Yukitaka ...[et al]. The path integral formulation of a polymer chain with stiffness and its phase transitions : Analytic theory of DNA condensation(Soft Matter as Structured Materials). 物性研究 2005, 84(6): 861-862

ISSUE DATE:

2005-09-20

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/110331>

RIGHT:

The path integral formulation of a polymer chain with stiffness and its phase transitions

— Analytic theory of DNA condensation —

Theor. Phys. Lab., RIKEN Yunitaka Ishimoto¹

Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz Norio Kikuchi²

完全屈曲性高分子鎖の連続理論は、場の理論による相転移の記述等よく知られている。一方、より一般の半屈曲性高分子鎖については、MD 法やモンテカルロ計算で一定の理解は得られたが、予言性を持つ解析理論に至っていない。我々はこのモデルを経路積分において引力項付きで定式化し、DNA 凝縮に特徴的なトロイド状態を導出した。更にウィップ-トロイド相転移等を議論する。

As simple models of DNA condensation [1], semiflexible homopolymers in a poor solvent condition have been much investigated by simulations using Monte Carlo, Langevin approaches or Gaussian variational method for its phase diagram [2], and by theoretical works estimating such toroidal properties [3]. It becomes increasingly probable that toroid is the ground state. However in the theoretical side, they assume a priori toroidal geometry as the stable lowest energy state. Compared to the theory of coil-globule transition of flexible chains [4, 5], which are well described by field theoretical formalism [4], there is no simple microscopic theory, which demonstrates whip-toroid transition of the semiflexible polymer [6]. In this talk, we show such a transition using path integral method and the nonlinear sigma model on a line segment.

In the continuum limit, the Green function of a stiff polymer chain with attractive interactions can be given by the path integral with a constraint $|\vec{u}|^2 = 1$ [6]:

$$G(\vec{0}, \vec{R}; \vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_f; L, W) = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int_{\vec{r}(0)=\vec{0}, \vec{u}(0)=\vec{u}_i}^{\vec{r}(L)=\vec{R}, \vec{u}(L)=\vec{u}_f} \frac{\mathcal{D}[\vec{r}(s)]}{\mathcal{D}[\vec{u}(s)]} e^{-\mathcal{H}[\vec{r}, \vec{u}, W]}. \quad (1)$$

s is the proper time along the chain of length L . $\vec{r}(s)$ is the 3-d pointing vector at s while $\vec{u}(s) \equiv \frac{\partial \vec{r}(s)}{\partial s}$ is the unit orientation vector at s . \mathcal{N} is the normalisation constant. The dimensionless Hamiltonian can be written by $\mathcal{H}[\vec{r}, \vec{u}, W] = \int_0^L ds [H(s) + V_{AT}(s)]$ with $H(s) = \frac{l}{2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \vec{u}(s) \right|^2$, $V_{AT}(s) = -W \int_0^s ds' \delta(\vec{r}(s) - \vec{r}(s'))$. l is the persistence length and W is a positive coupling constant of attraction between polymer segments. The Boltzmann weight is implicit.

Our Hamiltonian with the constraint $|\vec{u}(s)|^2 = 1$ can be interpreted as the low energy theory of the $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model on a line segment. The constraint $|\vec{u}|^2 = 1$ in $\mathcal{H}(\vec{u}) =$

¹E-mail: y.ishimoto@riken.jp

²E-mail: kikuchi@uni-mainz.de

$\frac{l}{2} \int_0^L ds |\partial \vec{u}(s)|^2$ in the polar coordinates gives

$$\mathcal{H}_{bending} = \frac{l}{2} \int_0^L ds \left[(\partial \theta_u)^2 + \sin^2 \theta_u (\partial \varphi_u)^2 \right]. \quad (2)$$

By solving the classical equations of motion, we obtain two types of classical solutions such as $\vec{u}(s) = \text{const.}$, or $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi_u = as + b$ where a, b are constants. Introducing the winding number of the solutions $N(s) \equiv [as/2\pi]$ with Gauss' symbol, we obtain their Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{cl}(a, l, L, W) \equiv \frac{Ll}{2} a^2 + \frac{\pi W}{a} N(L) (N(L) + 1) - WL \cdot N(L). \quad (3)$$

The non-zero winding number of the classical solution in the \vec{u} space means a "Toroid state" of radius $1/a$ which stabilises itself by attracting neighbouring segments. When $0 < a \leq \frac{2\pi}{L}$, as long as the total energy of the chain does not exceed the bending energy of $\frac{2\pi^2 l}{L}$, they can whip with zero winding number. We call such low-energy states "Whip states."

By rewriting the above form as follow, one can easily see that the functional shape of the energy level is governed by the value $c \equiv \frac{WL}{2l} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^2$

$$\mathcal{H}_{cl}(a, l, L, W) = \frac{WL}{2} \mathcal{H}(c, x) \text{ where } \mathcal{H}(c, x) = \frac{x^2}{2c} + \frac{1}{x} [x]([x] + 1) - 2[x], \quad (4)$$

with a new variable $x \equiv \frac{aL}{2\pi}$, $[x] = N(L)$. By plotting this with different values of c , one can find that there is a critical value $c = \frac{1}{2}$ where the meta-stable state with $N(L) = 1$ vanishes. This is a critical point where the phase transition from a Toroid state to a Whip state is accomplished.

References

- [1] L. C. Gosule and J. A. Schellman, *Nature* **259**, 333 (1976); Y. Yoshikawa, K. Yoshikawa, and T. Kanbe, *Langmuir* **15**, 4085 (1999); C. C. Conwell, I. D. Vilfan, and N. V. Hud, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**, 9296 (2003);
- [2] H. Noguchi, S. Saito, S. Kidoaki, and K. Yoshikawa, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **261**, 527 (1996); H. Noguchi and K. Yoshikawa, *J. Chem. Phys.* **109**, 5070 (1998); V. A. Ivanov, W. Paul, and K. Binder, *J. Chem. Phys.* **109**, 5659 (1998). A. Montesi, M. Pasquali, and F. C. Mackintosh, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 021916 (2004); I. R. Cooke and D. R. M. Williams, *Physica A* **339**, 45 (2004);
- [3] A. Y. Grosberg and A. R. Khokhlov, *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **41**, 53 (1981); M. R. Stukan, V. A. Ivanov, A. Y. Grosberg, W. Paul, and K. Binder, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 3392 (2003); N. V. Hud, K. H. Downing, and R. Balhorn, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**, 3581 (1995); J. Ubbink and T. Odijk, *Europhys. Lett.* **33**, 353 (1996). B. Schnurr, F. C. Mackintosh, and D. R. M. Williams, *Europhys. Lett.* **51**, 279 (2000). B. Schnurr, F. Gittes, and F. C. Mackintosh, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 061904 (2002); G. G. Pereira and D. R. M. Williams, *Europhys. Lett.* **50**, 559 (2000); I. C. B. Miller, M. Keentok, G. G. Pereira, and D. R. M. Williams, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 031802 (2005).
- [4] I. M. Lifshitz, A. Y. Grosberg, and A. R. Khokhlov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **50**, 683 (1978); A. L. Kholodenko and K. F. Freed, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17**, 2703 (1984).
- [5] P. G. de Gennes, *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics* (Cornell University Press, New York, 1979). M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The theory of polymer dynamics* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986). A. Y. Grosberg and A. R. Khokhlov, *Statistical physics of macromolecules* (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1994).
- [6] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, and Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets* (World Scientific Publishing Company, 2004); K. F. Freed, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 1 (1972).